A Survey on Maintenance of Aircraft Engines Using LSTM

Nitin Prasad*[1], A Rengarajan*[2]

[1,2]*Department of MCA, Jain University, Bangalore, Karnataka, India.*

prasadnitin05@gmail.com

Abstract—*What if a part of aircraft could let you know when the aircraft component needed to be replaced or repaired? It can be done with continuous data collection, monitoring, and advanced analytics. In the aviation industry, predictive maintenance promises increased reliability as well as improved supply chain and operational performance. The main goal is to ensure that the engines work correctly under all conditions and there is no risk of failure. If an effective method for predicting failures is applied, maintenance may be improved. The main source of data regarding the health of the engines is measured during the flights. Several variables are calculated, including fan speed, core speed, quantity and oil pressure and, environmental variables such as outside temperature, aircraft speed, altitude, and so on.*

Sensor data obtained in real time can be used to model component deterioration. To predict the maintenance of an aircraft engine, LSTM networks is used in this paper. A sequential input file is dealt with by the LSTM model. The training of LSTM networks was carried out on a high-performance large-scale processing engine. Machines, data, ideas, and people must all be brought together to understand the importance of predictive maintenance and achieve business results that matter.

Keywords: *Aircraft Engine Maintenance, Predictive Maintenance, Neural Networks, Aircraft Engines, LSTM.*

I. INTRODUCTION

One of the core concepts of the aeronautic industry is the safe and efficient operation of engines [1, 2]. A basic necessity is to keep aircraft engines in working order and to identify potential faults as soon as possible. Companies can track the health of engine components and built structures by collecting signals from sensors, thanks to the rapid advancement of Internet of Things technology. Companies can develop systems to predict component conditions based on the performance of IoT sensors. In order to fulfil their assigned mission, the components must be preserved or replaced before they reach the end of their useful life. For industries that want to develop in a fast-paced technological setting, predicting the life state of a component is critical. Recent predictive maintenance studies have aided industries in generating an alarm before components are compromised.

Companies can maintain their operations effectively while reducing maintenance costs by replacing components ahead of time thanks to component failure prediction. Since maintenance directly affects manufacturing capacity and service quality, optimizing maintenance is a critical problem for businesses looking to generate additional revenue and remain competitive in an increasingly industrialized world. Components may be taken out of active operation until a failure happens with the help of a well-designed prediction method for understanding the current state of an engine. Efficient maintenance, with the aid of inspection, extends component life, increases equipment availability, and maintains components in good working order while lowering costs.

By predicting the state of the system and performing anomaly detection, Prognostic and Health Management (PHM) improves system reliability and protection [3-6]. Because of the widespread use of sensors, obtaining a large number of equipment monitoring data is relatively simple, making the aircraft engine maintenance prediction process feasible [7, 8]. Applying analytics to those data sources to detect patterns and trends that can guide maintenance strategies—delivering the right information at the right time in the right context to avoid failures—is the key to predictive maintenance. The data can also be used to make recommendations for potential product design improvements.

For determining, preparing, and executing effective maintenance actions for specific capital assets, a number of strategies are available [9]. Corrective and preventive maintenance are the two most common choices, according to Tinga [10]. The two most common solutions are corrective and preventive maintenance. Corrective maintenance has a number of advantages, including maximizing asset lifespan, but it also has a number of drawbacks in terms of device protection and availability, including high spare parts inventory costs, high overtime labour costs, high component latency, and poor production availability [11]. Preventive maintenance, on the other hand, provides for efficient preparation of maintenance operations to ensure readiness and has clear safety advantages. Assets, on the other hand, are often replaced for safety considerations until they reach the end of their useful lives, which is inefficient economically.

There is an opportunity to step away from conventional preventative maintenance and toward predictive maintenance as the industry becomes more comfortable with intelligently tracking and evaluating equipment to assess the need for repair or replacement. Large reductions in unplanned downtime will save millions of dollars, keep planes going, and keep consumers happy.

II. LITERATURE SURVEY

To forecast the remaining useful life, maintenance of the engines and reliability of components, recent predictive maintenance studies have mostly used Hidden Semi Markov models. Failure rates, which are described as the frequency of a component breaking down per hour, are widely used to describe Hidden Semi Markov models. Hidden Semi Markov models [12] are used to calculate the likelihood of failure transfer rates. The input layer is fed by features, and the feed forward neural network topology is mostly constructed. The network with the smallest validation error is chosen to represent the best result. When building an ANN model, the log sigmoid transfer function is used. The result is scaled to a value between 0 and 1 [13]. Hochreiter and Schmidhuber published the first edition of LSTM in 1997 [14]. Backpropagation's exploding/vanishing gradients were solved by modifying the network's weights. This research paved the way for a number of exciting projects. The most common version is vanilla LSTM, which is a modification that has been perfected by many people [15, 16] (hereinafter referred to as LSTM).

LSTMs, like regular RNNs, have a chain of repeating neural network modules. As compared to RNNs and other types of neural networks, repeating modules in standard neural networks have basic structures such as tanh and sigmoid layers; however, LSTMs have different repeating modules. LSTMs have four communicating special layers instead of a single neural network layer. Each layer transports an entire vector from the previous layer's output to the next layer's inputs. LSTMs have the option to add or delete information when passing through gates, which determine how much information to bring across levels.

The value of the sigmoid function is 1 if all information has been passed through the gates. As a result, the first step of using LSTMs is determining the amount of information that can be carried between states. The forget gate sheet, which is also a sigmoid layer, makes this decision. If this layer is set to 0, all information is lost. The next move is to decide if new details can be applied to the next cell state after the forget gate layer has been determined. A tanh layer generates new values to be applied to the state, while an input gate layer determines which details will be changed on the next layer. Furthermore, the amount by which the states will be changed can be determined. The condition is then mixed with revised and newly included candidates. The old state is replaced with the new state after this phase.

III. CONCLUSION

The Industrial Internet's distinguishing advantage is predictive maintenance. Digital tools can monitor and retain historical performance for individual assets as well as the entire fleet, linking them to continuous real-time performance. Any deviation from the "normal actions" resulting from these baselines or the expected activity would raise an alarm and prompt response. Advanced analytics can then decide if the variance indicates a possible future malfunction, as well as the root cause and expected timeline for the malfunction to occur. Cost-benefit analysis of how much longer and at what load an object will perform before it has to be replaced will become the standard. This will allow airlines and MROs to resolve problems until they become a problem, reorganize workflow around scheduled maintenance, and prevent unplanned downtime.

This will get us closer to a future without unscheduled downtime, maintenance-related delays and cancellations, or aircraft stuck on the ground due to technical failures. It would greatly increase power usage and reduce the time we already waste doing preventive maintenance and servicing due to a lack of knowledge on the assets' real condition.

The key is to realize the aviation industry's digital future. Industrial Internet technologies allow a transition to streamlined efficiency and predictive maintenance, resulting in significant cost reductions and benefit for anyone involved. When data is transmitted back from properties to be aggregated and processed, benchmarking between fleets and operators will become feasible. Airlines that perform better than predicted can be compensated, and operational anomalies can be detected and corrected.

REFERENCES

[1] Y. M. Hussain, S. Burrow, L. Henson, and P. Keogh, “Benefits Analysis of Prognostics & Health Monitoring to Aircraft. Maintenance using System Dynamics,” in EUROPEAN CONFERENCE OF THE PROGNOSTICS AND HEALTH MANAGEMENT SOCIETY, 2016.

[2] E. Zio, “Reliability engineering: Old problems and new challenges,” Reliability Engineering & System Safety, vol. 94, no. 2, pp. 125-141, 2009.

[3] J. Xu, Y. Wang, and L. Xu, “PHM-oriented sensor optimization selection based on multiobjective model for aircraft engines,” IEEE Sensors Journal, vol. 15, no. 9, pp. 4836-4844, 2015.

[4] B. Huang, K. Cohen, and Q. Zhao, “Active anomaly detection in heterogeneous processes,” IEEE Transactions on Information Theory, vol. 65, no. 4, pp. 2284-2301, 2018.

[5] K. Cohen and Q. Zhao, “Active hypothesis testing for anomaly detection,” IEEE Transactions on Information Theory, vol. 61, no. 3, pp. 1432-1450, 2015.

[6] K. Cohen, Q. Zhao, and A. Swami, “Optimal index policies for anomaly localization in resource-constrained cyber systems,” IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing, vol. 62, no. 16, pp. 4224-4236, 2014.

[7] L. He, Z. Yang, J. Pan, L. Cai, J. Xu, and Y. Gu, “Evaluating service disciplines for on-demand mobile data collection in sensor networks,” IEEE Transactions on mobile computing, vol. 13, no. 4, pp. 797-810, 2013.

[8] J. He, Y. Ni, L. Cai, J. Pan, and C. Chen, “Optimal dropbox deployment algorithm for data dissemination in vehicular networks,” IEEE Transactions on Mobile Computing, vol. 17, no. 3, pp. 632-645, 2017.

[9] P. Samaranayake and S. Kiridena, “Aircraft maintenance planning and scheduling: an integrated framework,” Journal of Quality in Maintenance Engineering, 2012.

[10] T. Tinga, “Application of physical failure models to enable usage and load based maintenance,” Reliability Engineering & System Safety, vol. 95, no. 10, pp. 1061-1075, 2010.

[11] R. K. Mobley, An introduction to predictive maintenance. Elsevier, 2002.

[12] O. M. Abbas, H. I. Mohammed, and E. Omer, “Development of predictive Markov-chain condition-based tractor failure analysis algorithm,” Research Journal of Agriculture and Biological Sciences, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 52-67, 2011.

[13] S. Saon and T. Hiyama, “Predicting remaining useful life of rotating machinery based artificial neural network,” Computers & Mathematics with Applications, vol. 60, no. 4, pp. 1078-1087, 2010.

[14] S. Hochreiter and J. Schmidhuber, “Long short-term memory,” Neural computation, vol. 9, no. 8, pp. 1735-1780, 1997.

[15] A. Graves and J. Schmidhuber, “Framewise phoneme classification with bidirectional LSTM and other neural network architectures,” Neural networks, vol. 18, no. 5-6, pp. 602-610, 2005.

[16] K. Greff, R. K. Srivastava, J. Koutník, B. R. Steunebrink, and J. Schmidhuber, “LSTM: A search space odyssey,” IEEE transactions on neural networks and learning systems, vol. 28, no. 10, pp. 2222-2232, 2016.